inclusion on an otherwise intra-corporate email had on the claimed privilege, and invited

¹ Only the Court, Defendant Bronfman and her counsel, and the privilege review team may have access to this Order. A redacted public copy will be filed on the docket.

Defendant Bronfman to submit additional evidence. Defendant Bronfman made a supplemental submission on April 10, 2019. ECF No. 520.

The Court has reviewed the supplemental information submitted by Defendant Bronfman inclusion on the subject email did not destroy privilege. Defendant Bronfman submitted documentation of the administrative work that performed Based on the information provided, the subject communication was consistent with the tasks she performed ex rel. Miller v. Bill Harbert Int'l Constr., Inc., No. 95 Civ. 1231 (RCL), 2007 WL 915235, at *2-3 (D.D.C. March 27, 2007) (presence of client's assistant did not waive privilege when assistant's job was to witness documents and ensure a record of their creation).

Accordingly, the Court finds that the email at Index No. 190 is privileged and shall not be released any further.

Dated: April 12, 2019

Brooklyn, New York

VERA M. SCANLON United States Magistrate Judge

Fera M. Scanlon